

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re Application of	:	Customer Number: 46320
	:	
Kyle BROWN, et al.	:	Confirmation Number: 8874
	:	
Application No.: 10/055,546	:	Group Art Unit: 2194
	:	
Filed: January 23, 2002	:	Examiner: V. Nguyen
	:	
For: MULTI-PROTOCOL OBJECT DISTRIBUTION	:	

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following remarks are submitted in response to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief dated February 26, 2007 (hereinafter the Notice).

REMARKS

On page 4 of the Notice dated February 26, 2007, it was stated that "[i]ndependent claims 1, 6, and 10 are not mapped separately to the Specification by page and line number, and to drawing, if any" (emphasis added). Out the outset, Appellants note that 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(v) does not require that the independent claims be separately described. Instead, the Rules only require that each independent claim involved in the appeal receive a concise explanation.